



Laboratoire
Méthodes
Formelles



Introduction à la compilation

Polytech'Paris-Saclay – 4ème année –

Inference de Types
dans le λ -calculus

Burkhart Wolff

Plan of this Course: „ λ -calculus“

Plan of this Course: „ λ -calculus“

Plan of this Course: „ λ -calculus“

- The λ -calculus and its (basic)
Hindley-Milner type system

Plan of this Course: „ λ -calculus“

- The λ -calculus and its (basic) Hindley-Milner type system
- Properties

Plan of this Course: „ λ -calculus“

- The λ -calculus and its (basic) Hindley-Milner type system
- Properties
- Encoding Languages in the typed λ -calculus

Plan of this Course: „ λ -calculus“

- The λ -calculus and its (basic) Hindley-Milner type system
- Properties
- Encoding Languages in the typed λ -calculus
- Syntax-directed Type-Inference

Foundations: Typed λ -Terms

Background: The λ -calculus



Background: The λ -calculus

- Developed in the 30ies by Alonzo Church (and his students Kleene and Rosser)



Background: The λ -calculus

- Developed in the 30ies by Alonzo Church (and his students Kleene and Rosser)
- ... to develop a representation



Background: The λ -calculus

- Developed in the 30ies by Alonzo Church (and his students Kleene and Rosser)
- ... to develop a representation of Whitehead's and Russel's „Principia Mathematica“



Background: The λ -calculus

- Developed in the 30ies by Alonzo Church (and his students Kleene and Rosser)
- ... to develop a representation of Whitehead's and Russel's „Principia Mathematica“
- ... was early on detected as Turing-complete and actually a “functional computation model” (Turing)



Foundations: Typed λ -Terms

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

Motivation:

The typed λ -calculus

Motivation:

- a term - language for representing maths (with quantifiers, integrals, limits and stuff - thus: variables with binding.)
in a logic [seminal paper by Church in 1940]

The typed λ -calculus

Motivation:

- a term - language for representing maths (with quantifiers, integrals, limits and stuff - thus: variables with binding.)
in a logic [seminal paper by Church in 1940]
- ... in other words: a minimal generic AST for expressions and formulas

The typed λ -calculus

Motivation:

- a term - language for representing maths (with quantifiers, integrals, limits and stuff - thus: variables with binding.)
in a logic [seminal paper by Church in 1940]
- ... in other words: a minimal generic AST for expressions and formulas
- no divergence admissible

The typed λ -calculus

Motivation:

- a term - language for representing maths (with quantifiers, integrals, limits and stuff - thus: variables with binding.)
in a logic [seminal paper by Church in 1940]
- ... in other words: a minimal generic AST for expressions and formulas
- no divergence admissible
- equality on terms decidable by normalisation

The typed λ -calculus

Motivation:

- a term - language for representing maths (with quantifiers, integrals, limits and stuff - thus: variables with binding.)
in a logic [seminal paper by Church in 1940]
- ... in other words: a minimal generic AST for expressions and formulas
- no divergence admissible
- equality on terms decidable by normalisation
- turned out to be easy to implement.

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

Idea:

The typed λ -calculus

Idea:

- we use an applied λ -calculus Programming Language Representation

The typed λ -calculus

Idea:

- we use an applied λ -calculus Programming Language Representation
- we introduce the syntactic category of types

The typed λ -calculus

Idea:

- we use an applied λ -calculus Programming Language Representation
- we introduce the syntactic category of types
- we require all „legal“ terms to be typed,
i.e. an association of a term to a type
according to typing rules must be possible.

The typed λ -calculus

Idea:

- we use an applied λ -calculus Programming Language Representation
- we introduce the syntactic category of types
- we require all „legal“ terms to be typed,
i.e. an association of a term to a type
according to typing rules must be possible.
- typed terms were defined inductively.

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

Applied λ -terms T are built inductively over:

- V , a set of “variable symbols”
- C , a set of “constant symbols”
- $\lambda V. T$, a term construction called “ λ -abstraction”,
- $T T$, a term construction called “application”

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

Types (1):

The typed λ -calculus

Types (1):

- We assume a set of type constructors χ with symbols like bool, nat, int, _list, _set, \Rightarrow , ...

The typed λ -calculus

Types (1):

- We assume a set of type constructors χ with symbols like bool, nat, int, _list, _set, \Rightarrow , ...
- We assume a set of type variables TV for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$

The typed λ -calculus

Types (1):

- We assume a set of type constructors χ with symbols like `bool`, `nat`, `int`, `_list`, `_set`, `_⇒_`, ...
- We assume a set of type variables TV for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$
- The set of types τ is inductively defined:

$$\tau ::= \text{TV} \mid \chi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$$

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

Types (2):

The typed λ -calculus

Types (2):

- We assume a set of type constructors χ with symbols like bool, nat, int, _list, _set, \Rightarrow , ...

The typed λ -calculus

Types (2):

- We assume a set of type constructors χ with symbols like `bool`, `nat`, `int`, `_list`, `_set`, `_⇒_`, ...
- For type constructors (and constant symbols),

The typed λ -calculus

Types (2):

- We assume a set of type constructors χ with symbols like bool, nat, int, _list, _set, \Rightarrow , ...
- For type constructors (and constant symbols), we will allow infix/circumfix notation:

we will write:

The typed λ -calculus

Types (2):

- We assume a set of type constructors χ with symbols like `bool`, `nat`, `int`, `_list`, `_set`, `_⇒_`, ...
- For type constructors (and constant symbols), we will allow infix/circumfix notation:

we will write:

<code>nat</code>	for	<code>nat()</code>
<code>bool</code>	for	<code>bool()</code>

The typed λ -calculus

Types (2):

- We assume a set of type constructors χ with symbols like `bool`, `nat`, `int`, `_list`, `_set`, `_⇒_`, ...
- For type constructors (and constant symbols), we will allow infix/circumfix notation:

we will write:

<code>nat</code>	for	<code>nat()</code>
<code>bool</code>	for	<code>bool()</code>
<code>nat list</code>	for	<code>(list_)(nat)</code>

The typed λ -calculus

Types (2):

- We assume a set of type constructors χ with symbols like `bool`, `nat`, `int`, `_list`, `_set`, `_⇒_`, ...
- For type constructors (and constant symbols), we will allow infix/circumfix notation:

we will write:

<code>nat</code>	for	<code>nat()</code>
<code>bool</code>	for	<code>bool()</code>
<code>nat list</code>	for	<code>(list_)(nat)</code>
<code>bool ⇒ nat</code>	for	<code>(_⇒_)(bool, nat)</code>

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

Types (3):

The typed λ -calculus

Types (3):

- We assume **constant environment** which assigns each constant symbol a type:

$$\Sigma :: C \mapsto \tau$$

The typed λ -calculus

Types (3):

- We assume **constant environment** which assigns each constant symbol a type:

$$\Sigma :: C \mapsto \tau$$

- We assume a **variable-environment** which assigns to each variable symbol a type:

$$\Gamma :: V \mapsto \tau$$

(we write $\Gamma = \{a \mapsto \tau_1, b \mapsto \tau_2, c \mapsto \tau_3 \dots\}$)

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

Type instances :

The typed λ -calculus

Type instances :

- We define a function `type_instance` as follows:

The typed λ -calculus

Type instances :

- We define a function `type_instance` as follows:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\alpha_i) = \tau_i$$

The typed λ -calculus

Type instances :

- We define a function `type_instance` as follows:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\alpha_i) = \tau_i$$

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\chi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)) =$$

The typed λ -calculus

Type instances :

- We define a function `type_instance` as follows:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\alpha_i) = \tau_i$$

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\chi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)) =$$

$$\chi(\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_1, \dots, \theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_n)$$

The typed λ -calculus

Type instances :

- We define a function `type_instance` as follows:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\alpha_i) = \tau_i$$

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\chi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)) =$$

$$\chi(\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_1, \dots, \theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_n)$$

- Example:

The typed λ -calculus

Type instances :

- We define a function `type_instance` as follows:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\alpha_i) = \tau_i$$

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\chi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)) =$$

$$\chi(\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_1, \dots, \theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_n)$$

- Example:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha \mapsto \text{int}\}}$$

The typed λ -calculus

Type instances :

- We define a function `type_instance` as follows:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\alpha_i) = \tau_i$$

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\chi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)) =$$

$$\chi(\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_1, \dots, \theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_n)$$

- Example:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha \mapsto \text{int}\}}(\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool})$$

The typed λ -calculus

Type instances :

- We define a function `type_instance` as follows:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\alpha_i) = \tau_i$$

$$\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}}(\chi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)) =$$

$$\chi(\theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_1, \dots, \theta_{\{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}} \tau_n)$$

- Example:

$$\theta_{\{\alpha \mapsto \text{int}\}}(\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) = \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

Types (4):

The typed λ -calculus

Types (4):

- A **type judgement** stating that a term t has type τ in environments Σ and Γ :

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$$

The typed λ -calculus

Types (4):

- A **type judgement** stating that a term t has type τ in environments Σ and Γ :

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$$

- ... and a set of inductive type inference rules establishing type judgements.

The typed λ -calculus

Types (4):

- A **type judgement** stating that a term t has type τ in environments Σ and Γ :

$$\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash t :: \tau$$

- ... and a set of inductive type inference rules establishing type judgements.

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

- Type Inferences:

The typed λ -calculus

- Type Inferences:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash c_i :: \theta (\Sigma c_i)}$$

The typed λ -calculus

- Type Inferences:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash c_i :: \theta (\Sigma c_i)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash x_i :: \Gamma x_i}$$

The typed λ -calculus

- Type Inferences:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash c_i :: \theta (\Sigma c_i)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash x_i :: \Gamma x_i}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash E :: \tau \Rightarrow \tau' \quad \Sigma, \Gamma \vdash E' :: \tau}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash E E' :: \tau'}$$

The typed λ -calculus

- Type Inferences:

$$\frac{}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash c_i :: \theta (\Sigma c_i)}$$

$$\frac{}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash x_i :: \Gamma x_i}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash E :: \tau \Rightarrow \tau' \quad \Sigma, \Gamma \vdash E' :: \tau}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash E E' :: \tau'}$$

$$\frac{\Sigma, \{x_i \mapsto \tau\} \uplus \Gamma \vdash E :: \tau'}{\Sigma, \Gamma \vdash \lambda x_i. E :: \tau \Rightarrow \tau'}$$

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

- Note that constant symbols where treated slightly different than variable symbols:

The typed λ -calculus

- Note that constant symbols where treated slightly different than variable symbols:
 - constant symbols may be instantiated (the type variables may be substituted via θ)

The typed λ -calculus

- Note that constant symbols where treated slightly different than variable symbols:
 - constant symbols may be instantiated (the type variables may be substituted via θ)
 - a constant symbol may therefore have different types in a term.

Typed λ -calculus

Typed λ -calculus

- We assume

Typed λ -calculus

- We assume

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma = \{ & 0 \mapsto \text{nat}, 1 \mapsto \text{nat}, 2 \mapsto \text{nat}, 3 \mapsto \text{nat}, \\ & \text{Suc } _- \mapsto \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}, \quad _-+_-\mapsto \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}, \\ & _-_=_\mapsto \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}, \text{True} \mapsto \text{bool}, \\ & \text{False} \mapsto \text{bool} \}\end{aligned}$$

Typed λ -calculus

Typed λ -calculus

- Example:
does $\lambda x. x + 3$ have a type, and which one ?

Typed λ -calculus

- Example:
does $\lambda x. x + 3$ have a type, and which one ?

$$\Sigma, \{\} \vdash \lambda x. x + 3 :: nat \Rightarrow nat$$

Typed λ -calculus

- Example:
does $\lambda x. x + 3$ have a type, and which one ?

$$\Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{nat}\} \uplus \{\} \vdash x + 3 :: \text{nat}$$

$$\Sigma, \{\} \vdash \lambda x. x + 3 :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Typed λ -calculus

- Example:
does $\lambda x. x + 3$ have a type, and which one ?

$$\Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{nat}\} \vdash (_ + _)(x) :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$$

$$\Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{nat}\} \vdash 3 :: \text{nat}$$

$$\Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{nat}\} \uplus \{\} \vdash x + 3 :: \text{nat}$$

$$\Sigma, \{\} \vdash \lambda x. x + 3 :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Typed λ -calculus

- Example:
does $\lambda x. x + 3$ have a type, and which one ?

$$\Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{nat}\} \vdash (_ + _) :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$$

$$\Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{nat}\} \vdash x :: \text{nat}$$

$$\Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{nat}\} \vdash (_ + _)(x) :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$$

$$\Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{nat}\} \vdash 3 :: \text{nat}$$

$$\Sigma, \{x \mapsto \text{nat}\} \uplus \{\} \vdash x + 3 :: \text{nat}$$

$$\Sigma, \{\} \vdash \lambda x. x + 3 :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$$

Revisions: Typed λ -calculus

Revisions: Typed λ -calculus

- Examples:

Are there variable instances $\rho = \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}$ such that the following terms are typable in Σ :

(note the infix notation: we write $0 + x$ for "_+_ 0 x")

Revisions: Typed λ -calculus

- Examples:

Are there variable instances $\rho = \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}$ such that the following terms are typable in Σ :

(note the infix notation: we write $0 + x$ for "_+_ 0 x")

- $(\underline{_+_0}) = (\text{Suc } x)$

Revisions: Typed λ -calculus

- Examples:

Are there variable instances $\rho = \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}$ such that the following terms are typable in Σ :

(note the infix notation: we write $0 + x$ for "_+_ 0 x")

- $(_+_0) = (\text{Suc } x)$
- $((x + y) = (y + x)) = \text{False}$

Revisions: Typed λ -calculus

- Examples:

Are there variable instances $\rho = \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}$ such that the following terms are typable in Σ :

(note the infix notation: we write $0 + x$ for "_+_ 0 x")

- $(_+_0) = (\text{Suc } x)$
- $((x + y) = (y + x)) = \text{False}$
- $f(_+_0) = (\lambda c. g c) x$

Revisions: Typed λ -calculus

- Examples:

Are there variable instances $\rho = \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}$ such that the following terms are typable in Σ :

(note the infix notation: we write $0 + x$ for "_+_ 0 x")

- $(_+_0) = (\text{Suc } x)$
- $((x + y) = (y + x)) = \text{False}$
- $f(_+_0) = (\lambda c. g c) x$
- $_+_z (_+_ (\text{Suc } 0)) = (0 + f \text{False})$

Revisions: Typed λ -calculus

- Examples:

Are there variable instances $\rho = \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\}$ such that the following terms are typable in Σ :

(note the infix notation: we write $0 + x$ for "_+_ 0 x")

- $(_+_0) = (\text{Suc } x)$
- $((x + y) = (y + x)) = \text{False}$
- $f(_+_0) = (\lambda c. g c) x$
- $_+_z (_+_ (\text{Suc } 0)) = (0 + f \text{False})$
- $a + b = (\text{True} = c)$

Application: Encoding a Simple Logic in typed λ -Terms

HOL in Typed λ -calculus

HOL in Typed λ -calculus

- We assume for Higher-Order Logic:

$$\Sigma_{\text{HOL}} = \Sigma \uplus$$

{ True \mapsto bool, False \mapsto bool,
 $_ \wedge _$ \mapsto bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool,
 $_ \vee _$ \mapsto bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool,
 $_ \rightarrow _$ \mapsto bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool,
 $\neg _$ \mapsto bool \Rightarrow bool,
 $_ = _$ \mapsto $\alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow$ bool,

HOL in Typed λ -calculus

- We assume for Higher-Order Logic:

$$\Sigma_{\text{HOL}} = \Sigma \cup$$

{ True \mapsto bool, False \mapsto bool,
 $_ \wedge _ \mapsto \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$,
 $_ \vee _ \mapsto \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$,
 $_ \rightarrow _ \mapsto \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$,
 $\neg _ \mapsto \text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$,
 $_ = _ \mapsto \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}$,
 $\forall _. _ \mapsto (\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$,
 $\exists _. _ \mapsto (\alpha \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ }

Type Inference

The typed λ -calculus

The typed λ -calculus

Theoretical Properties (without proof)

The typed λ -calculus

Theoretical Properties (without proof)

- The type inference problem is decidable, i.e. for

$$\Sigma, ? \vdash t :: ??$$

there is an algorithm that finds solutions
for $?$ and $??$ if existing.

The typed λ -calculus

Theoretical Properties (without proof)

- The type inference problem is decidable, i.e. for

$$\Sigma, ? \vdash t :: ??$$

there is an algorithm that finds solutions
for $?$ and $??$ if existing.

The typed λ -calculus

Theoretical Properties (without proof)

- The type inference problem is decidable, i.e. for

$$\Sigma, ? \vdash t :: ??$$

there is an algorithm that finds solutions
for $?$ and $??$ if existing.

The typed λ -calculus

Theoretical Properties (without proof)

- The type inference problem is decidable, i.e. for

$$\Sigma, ? \vdash t :: ??$$

there is an algorithm that finds solutions
for $?$ and $??$ if existing.

The typed λ -calculus

Theoretical Properties (without proof)

- The type inference problem is decidable, i.e. for

$$\Sigma, ? \vdash t :: ??$$

there is an algorithm that finds solutions
for $?$ and $??$ if existing.

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- We assume the operation

$$\text{mgu} : \tau \times \tau \Rightarrow (\text{TV} \times \tau) \text{ set}$$

Type-Inference

- We assume the operation

$$\text{mgu} : \tau \times \tau \Rightarrow (\text{TV} \times \tau) \text{ set}$$

- where:

$$\text{mgu}(\tau, \tau') = \{\alpha_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, \alpha_n \mapsto \tau_n\} \quad (= \rho)$$

if there exists a ρ s.t.

$$\theta_\rho \tau = \theta_\rho \tau'$$

$$\text{mgu}(t_1, t_2) = \text{error} \quad \text{otherwise}$$

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- We assume the operation

$$\text{mgu} : \tau \times \tau \Rightarrow (\text{TV} \times \tau) \text{ set}$$

Type-Inference

- We assume the operation

$$\text{mgu} : \tau \times \tau \Rightarrow (\text{TV} \times \tau) \text{ set}$$

- Examples:

Type-Inference

- We assume the operation

$$\text{mgu} : \tau \times \tau \Rightarrow (\text{TV} \times \tau) \text{ set}$$

- Examples:

$$\text{mgu}(\alpha_1 \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2 \Rightarrow \alpha_2) = ?$$

$$\text{mgu}(\alpha_1 \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \alpha_2) = ?$$

$$\text{mgu}(\alpha_1 \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2) = ?$$

$$\text{mgu}(\alpha_2 \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \alpha_2, \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2) = ?$$

Type-Inference

- We assume the operation

$$\text{mgu} : \tau \times \tau \Rightarrow (\text{TV} \times \tau) \text{ set}$$

- Examples:

$$\text{mgu}(\alpha_1 \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2 \Rightarrow \alpha_2) = ?$$

$$\text{mgu}(\alpha_1 \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \text{bool} \Rightarrow \alpha_2) = ?$$

$$\text{mgu}(\alpha_1 \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2) = ?$$

$$\text{mgu}(\alpha_2 \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \alpha_2, \alpha_1 \Rightarrow \alpha_2) = ?$$

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- We assume the operation

shift:nat \Rightarrow (TV \times τ) set (renommage index)

where:

$$\text{shift } n = \{\alpha_0 \mapsto \alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_k \mapsto \alpha_{n+k}\}$$

Type-Inference

- We assume the operation

shift:nat \Rightarrow (TV \times τ) set (renommage index)

where:

$$\text{shift } n = \{\alpha_0 \mapsto \alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_k \mapsto \alpha_{n+k}\}$$

- We assume an operation

$$\text{vars } \alpha_i = \{\alpha_i\}$$

$$\text{vars } (\chi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)) = \bigcup_{i \in \{1..n\}} \text{vars } \tau_i$$

Type-Inference

- We assume the operation

shift:nat \Rightarrow (TV \times τ) set (renommage index)

where:

$$\text{shift } n = \{\alpha_0 \mapsto \alpha_n, \dots, \alpha_k \mapsto \alpha_{n+k}\}$$

- We assume an operation

$$\text{vars } \alpha_i = \{\alpha_i\}$$

$$\text{vars } (\chi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)) = \bigcup_{i \in \{1..n\}} \text{vars } \tau_i$$

- We assume that all type variables have an index; max_index computes the maximal index out of a set of type vars

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- The AST of our lambda-calculus:

```
term = C string
      | V string
      | lam string term
      | app term term
```

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- We will describe the type inference as syntax-directed attribution of terms (alternative: as a deductive rule set)

Type-Inference

- We will describe the type inference as syntax-directed attribution of terms (alternative: as a deductive rule set)
- Inherited attributes:

$\text{maxindex}_{\text{in}} : \text{nat}$

$\Sigma : C \mapsto \tau$

$\Gamma : V \mapsto \tau$

Type-Inference

- We will describe the type inference as syntax-directed attribution of terms (alternative: as a deductive rule set)
- Inherited attributes:

$\text{maxindex}_{\text{in}} : \text{nat}$

$\Sigma : C \mapsto \tau$

$\Gamma : V \mapsto \tau$

- Synthesised attributes:

$\text{maxindex}_{\text{out}} : \text{nat}$

$\text{type} : \tau$

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- Syntax-directed Def of the 'Application'

Type-Inference

- Syntax-directed Def of the 'Application'
- $t_0 = \text{app } t_1 \ t_2 :$
 - $\maxindex_{\text{in}}(t_1) = \maxindex_{\text{in}}(t_0) \quad \maxindex_{\text{in}}(t_2) = \maxindex_{\text{out}}(t_1)$
 - $\maxindex_{\text{out}}(t_0) = \maxindex_{\text{out}}(t_2)$
 - $\Sigma(t_1) = \Sigma(t_2) = \Sigma(t_0) \quad \Gamma(t_1) = \Gamma(t_2) = \Gamma(t_0)$
 - $\text{type}(t_0) = \text{case type}(t_1) \text{ of}$
 - $\tau_1 \Rightarrow \tau_2 : \text{case mgu}(\tau_1, \text{type}(t_2)) \text{ of}$
 - $\{\} : \text{error}$
 - $\mid \rho : \theta_\rho \ \tau_2$
 - $\alpha : \alpha \Rightarrow \text{type}(t_2)$
 - $_ : \text{error}$

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- Attribution of the 'Abstraction'

Type-Inference

- Attribution of the 'Abstraction'
- $t_0 = \text{lam } x \ t_1 :$

$$\text{maxindex}_{\text{in}}(t_1) = \text{maxindex}_{\text{in}}(t_0) + 1$$

$$\text{maxindex}_{\text{out}}(t_0) = \text{maxindex}_{\text{out}}(t_1)$$

$$\Sigma(t_1) = \Sigma(t_0)$$

$$\Gamma(t_1) = \Gamma(t_0) \uplus \{x \mapsto \alpha_m\} \quad \text{where } m = \text{maxindex}_{\text{in}}(t_0) + 1$$

$$\text{type}(t_0) = \alpha_m \Rightarrow \text{type}(t_1)$$

Type-Inference

- Attribution of the 'Abstraction'
- $t_0 = \text{lam } x \ t_1 :$

$$\text{maxindex}_{\text{in}}(t_1) = \text{maxindex}_{\text{in}}(t_0) + 1$$

$$\text{maxindex}_{\text{out}}(t_0) = \text{maxindex}_{\text{out}}(t_1)$$

$$\Sigma(t_1) = \Sigma(t_0)$$

$$\Gamma(t_1) = \Gamma(t_0) \uplus \{x \mapsto \alpha_m\} \quad \text{where } m = \text{maxindex}_{\text{in}}(t_0) + 1$$

$$\text{type}(t_0) = \alpha_m \Rightarrow \text{type}(t_1)$$

- Recall: ' $\alpha_m \Rightarrow \text{type}(t_1)$ ' is a notation for $_\Rightarrow_(\alpha_m, t_1)$

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- Attribution of the 'free variable'

Type-Inference

- Attribution of the 'free variable'

- $t_0 = V\ x :$

$$\text{maxindex}_{\text{out}}(t_0) = \text{maxindex}_{\text{in}}(t_0)$$

$\text{type}(t_0) = \text{case lookup}(\Gamma(t_0), x) \text{ of}$

$(x \mapsto \alpha_m) : \alpha_m$

| $_$: error

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- Attribution of the ‘constant symbols’

Type-Inference

- Attribution of the ‘constant symbols’
- $t_0 = C\ x\ :$

$$\text{maxindex}_{\text{out}}(t_0) = \text{maxindex}_{\text{in}}(t_0) + m = k$$

$(m, \text{type}(t_0)) = \text{case lookup}(\Sigma(t_0), x)$ of

$(x \mapsto \tau) : (\text{max_index}(\text{vars } \tau), \text{shift } k \tau)$

| _ : error

Type-Inference

Type-Inference

- Examples:

Type-Inference

- Examples:

- $\lambda f . (\lambda x . f(x\ x))\ (\lambda x . f(x\ x))$ typable ?

Type-Inference

- Examples:

- $\lambda f . (\lambda x . f(x\ x))\ (\lambda x . f(x\ x))$ typable ?

- Let $\Sigma = \{\top \mapsto \alpha_0 \Rightarrow \alpha_0\}$.

$\top\ \top\ \top$ typable ?

Type-Inference

- Examples:

- $\lambda f . (\lambda x . f(x\ x))\ (\lambda x . f(x\ x))$ typable ?

- Let $\Sigma = \{\top \mapsto \alpha_0 \Rightarrow \alpha_0\}$.

$\top\ \top\ \top$ typable ?

Conclusion

Conclusion

- Instead of using a “taylor-made” AST for a given programming language, one can use the typed λ -calculus instead

Conclusion

- Instead of using a “taylor-made” AST for a given programming language, one can use the typed λ -calculus instead
- Technique is called:
Higher-order abstract syntax

Conclusion

- Instead of using a “taylor-made” AST for a given programming language, one can use the typed λ -calculus instead
- Technique is called:
Higher-order abstract syntax
- Advantage: proper handling of binding and substitution, reduction is terminating, type-inference is possible and practically viable.

Conclusion

- Instead of using a “taylor-made” AST for a given programming language, one can use the typed λ -calculus instead
- Technique is called:
Higher-order abstract syntax
- Advantage: proper handling of binding and substitution, reduction is terminating, type-inference is possible and practically viable.